



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,295	07/10/2003	James Michael Odom	130566	2920
52531	7590	03/05/2008	EXAMINER	
CHRISTENSEN O'CONNOR JOHNSON KINDNESS PLLC			SUBRAMANIAN, NARAYANSWAMY	
1420 FIFTH AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 2800			3691	
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2347			MAIL DATE	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			03/05/2008 PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/617,295	ODOM ET AL.
	Examiner Narayanswamy Subramanian	Art Unit 3691

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If no period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 November 2007.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 108 and 110-124 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) 119-124 is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 108 and 110-118 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:

1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/06)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicants' communication filed on November 6, 2007. Amendments to claim 108, 110-112, cancellation of claim 109 and addition of new claims 113-124 have been entered. Objections to the specification and rejections made under 35 USC § 112, *first and second paragraphs* in the last office action are withdrawn in view of the amendments. Claims 108 and 110-124 are currently pending of which claims 119-124 are withdrawn from consideration as discussed below. Applicants are respectfully requested to cancel the withdrawn claims 119-124 in response to this office action. Claims 108 and 110-118 have been examined. The response to amendments, objections to the specification, rejections and response to arguments are stated below.

Response to Amendment

2. Claims 119-124 are directed to inventions that are independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:

Claims 108-112 that were examined in the last office action mailed on September 14, 2007 and amended claims 108 and 110-118 are drawn to a method of trading, stocks, options, or futures representing real world events, the method comprising: providing a virtual trading floor for registered members to trade the stock, options, or futures; registering members of the virtual trading floor; issuing shares of stock, options, or futures to registered members of the trading floor, the members paying for the shares of stock, option, or futures from their respective accounts at the trading floor, the stock, options, or futures representing an outcome of a real world event having a clearly defined outcome and not representing an ownership interest in the entity involved in the real

Art Unit: 3691

world event; and upon the occurrence of the real world event, paying the holders of the shares of stock, option or future representing the correct choice of the outcome of the event. Claims 119-124 are drawn to a method of trading shares of stock representing real world events on an electronic, network-based exchange system having a virtual trading floor, the method comprising: providing a trading floor on which stock can be traded over an electronic network by, members of the trading floor, registering members of the trading floor; providing accounts for the members of the trading floor; selling an initial offering of stock to members of the trading floor, the stock representing an outcome of a real world event having a clearly defined outcome and not representing an ownership interest, in the entity involved in the real world event; assigning a symbol to the stock; and clearing trades of shares of stock between the trading floor members into the accounts of the trading floor members. The utility of the first invention is upon occurrence of the real world event paying the holders of the shares of stock, option or future representing the correct choice of the outcome of the event, whereas the utility of the second invention assigning a symbol to the stock. As is evident from the steps of the claimed inventions and from their different utilities, the two inventions are distinct and independent. Also the search required for claims 108 and 110-118 is different from that required for claims 119-124, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

3. Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention drawn to claims 108 and 110-118, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 119-124 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03. Applicant is respectfully requested to cancel the

withdrawn non-elected claims 119-124 in response to this office action.

Specification

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. The specification is objected to under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to support the subject matter set forth in the claims. The specification, as originally filed does not provide support for the invention as now claimed.

The test to be applied under the written description portion of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, is whether the disclosure of the application as originally filed reasonably conveys to the artisan that the inventor had possession at that time of later claimed subject matter. *Vas-Cat, Inc. v. Mahurkar*, 935 F. 2d 1555, 1565, 19 USPQ2d 111, 1118 (Fed. Cir. 1991), *reh'rg denied (Fed. Cir. July 8, 1991)* and *reh'rg, en banc, denied (Fed. Cir. July 29, 1991)*.

Claims 108 and 110-118 include the limitations “providing a virtual trading floor for registered members to trade the stock, options, or futures; and registering members of the virtual trading floor”. However, the specification does not provide a written description disclosure to support the claimed limitations of “providing a virtual trading floor for registered members to trade the stock, options, or futures; and registering members of the virtual trading floor”.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 3691

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 108 and 110-118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In particular, claims 108 and 110-118 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, for the reasons set forth in the objection to the specification.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to pending claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure are listed on the enclosed PTO-892.

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3691

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is (571) 272-6751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached at (571) 272-6771. The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft to the Patent Office is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PMR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PMR only. For more information about the PMR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3691

March 2, 2008